

Let others know about the FREE legal resources available at LA Law Library.

f 🗹 🞯 #ProBonoWeek #LALawLibrary

Bold, New Frontiers of Legal Research

Austin Stoub 213-785-2534 astoub@lalawlibrary.org



LA Law Library Locations

Main Library:

(Corner of 1st & Hill) 301 W. First Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 785-2529

Mon, Wed, Thu, Fri: 8:30–6:00pm Tue: 8:30–8:00 pm Sat: 9:00–5:00 pm

Courthouse Branch Locations: Long Beach, Torrance

Courthouse eBranch Locations:

Long Beach, Norwalk, Pomona, Torrance,

Public Library Partnerships: Compton, Lancaster, Pasadena, Van Nuys, West Covina





Remote Locations

- Courthouse Branch Locations:
 Long Beach, Norwalk, Pomona and Torrance
- Public Library Partnerships:

Compton Library, Lancaster Regional Library, Norwalk Public Library, Pasadena Public Library, Los Angeles Public Library Van Nuys Branch, West Covina Public Library

Visit <u>www.lalawlibrary.org</u> for more information



www.lalawlibrary.org





Overview

- The LA Law Library was established in 1891
- The current building was opened in 1953
- Largest print collection in a public law library west of the Mississippi River



- Seven floors
- 35 miles of shelving
- 1,000,000 equivalent volumes including print and digital

Print Collection

- Primary and secondary legal materials for all 50 states, federal and multi-state Foreign and International materials
- Extensive historical collection including early California and state colonial materials
- California and Ninth Circuit Appellate and Supreme Court briefs



Electronic Databases

- California State Briefs
- CEB OnLAW
- Foreign Law Guide
- HeinOnline
- IndexMaster
- Index to Foreign Legal Periodicals
- LA Law Library Catalog
- LLMC Digital
- Legal Information Reference Center
- Legal Trac

- Lexis
- SmartRules
- U.S. Supreme Court Records and Briefs, 1832-1978

LALAWLIBRARY

- Westlaw
- WestlawNext
- Wolters Kluwer Law and Business
- Xspouse

What We Do

- Suggest research avenues and sources for research, identify and locate citations
- Explain how to use legal materials
- Explain the law-making process
- Assist in finding forms
- Aid in the use of electronic resources including Westlaw and Lexis (online databases that contain cases, codes, and statutes for all 50 states and secondary materials), CEB OnLAW and HeinOnline

LALAWLIBRARY

• Teach public classes

Library Services

- Reference Service
 - in library, by phone, email, mail and live chat through 24/7 Reference Cooperative
- Document Delivery Service
- Members Program
 - Open to members of the State Bar of California
- Computer Services
- Circulation
 - Borrower registration program
 - Reserve books and more...



- Facility Rentals
 - Training Center
 - Conference Rooms
 - Office Space
 - Private Events (up to 500 ppl)

- Instruction
 - Public training classes
 - MCLE courses
 - Tours

Class Objectives

- Define concepts related to technology-assisted legal research.
- Overview of existing legal research technologies.
- Emerging trends in technologyassisted legal research.
- Artificial intelligence, legal research, and litigation.

Definitions

- Technology-assisted
 - Analytics: Multi-discipline approach to find meaningful patterns and knowledge in recorded data.
 - Machine-learning: Computer-based automated data analysis to address solvable questions.
 - Artificial intelligence: Machine based cognitive functions.

Legal Research Analytics

- Current legal research analytic products
 - FastCase
 - Visual data representation, relevance ranking
 - Ravel Law
 - Automated case ranking, context, relevance

- CaseText
 - Crowd-sourced legal analysis

FastCase

- Visual representation of cases based on significance, and integrates authorities and subsequent cites into visual representation
- Search system provide relevance ranking and integrates citation analysis
- Interactive tools allow user to focus subsequent citation information
- Boolean search functions allow for precise searches

FastCase



Ravel Law

- Visual representation of relevant cases
- Case representation is based on significance and relation to subsequent cases
- Interactive tools place cases context such as court hierarchy, relevance or clusters of cases
- Create and save personal case annotations
- Use advanced Boolean search functions for precise searches

Ravel Law



LALAWLIBRARY

CaseText

- Free access to case law for all US jurisdictions
- Quick Facts feature identifies case holdings, summaries, and case facts
- Crowd-sourced posts allow individuals to create case annotations that are freely available
- Search using keywords or Boolean search operators to develop precise searches



CaseText

	MASSACHUSETTS v. EPA, 549 U.S. 497, 498 (2007)				
	petitioner needs to have standing to authorize review. See Rumsfeld v. Forum for Academic and Institutional Rights, Inc., 547 U. S. 47, 52, n. 2.	📕 Bookmark (3) 🚺 Print to PDF			
	Massachusetts has a special position and interest here. It is a sovereign	Communities (9) @			
	State and not, as in <i>Lujan</i> , a private individual, and it actually owns a great	ReCites (15) @			
	deal of the territory alleged to be affected. The sovereign prerogatives to force reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, to negotiate emissions				
	treaties with developing countries, and (in some circumstances) to exercise the police power to reduce motor-vehicle emissions are now	Quick Facts (5) Annotated Passages (6) "Massachusetts has a special position and intere- here." Annotated by Jake Heller "The sovereign prerogatives to force reductions i greenhouse gas emissions, to negotiate emission treaties with developing countries, and (in some circumstances) to exercise the police power to re- motor-vehicle emissions are now lodged in the Federal Government." Annotated by Laura Sadie			
	lodged in the Federal Government.				
	 The dissent stresses that the majority fails to cite authority supporting the "special solicitude" of state parties with respect to the standing question, however the dissent instead points to <i>DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno</i>, which pertains to taxpayer standing to challenge state expenditures, not to a state's standing to challenge agency rulemaking (or failure to regulate). Laura Safdie @ on June 24, 2014 				
499	Because Congress has ordered EPA to protect Massachusetts (among others) by prescribing applicable standards, § $7521(a)(1)$, and has given Massachusetts a concomitant procedural right to challenge the rejection of its rulemaking petition as arbitrary and capricious, § $7607(b)(1)$, petitioners' submissions as they pertain to Massachusetts have satisfied the most demanding standards of the adversarial process. EPA's steadfast refusal to "499 regulate greenhouse gas emissions presents a risk of harm to Massachusetts that is both "actual" and "imminent," Lujan, 504 U. S., at 560,	"The scope of the Court's review of the merits of statutory issues is narrow. Although an agency's refusal to initiate enforcement proceedings is not ordinarily subject to judicial review, Heckler v. Ckaney, 470 U. S. 821, there are key differences between nonenforcement and denials of rulemak petitions that are, as in the present circumstance expressly authorized." Annotated by Jake Heller			
	and there is a "substantial likelihood that the judicial relief requested" will prompt EPA to take steps to reduce that risk, <i>Duke Power Co. v. Garolina</i> <i>Environmental Study Group, Inc.</i> , 438 U. S. 59, 79. Pp. 516-521.	"EPA's reliance on postenactment congressional actions and deliberations it views as tantamount command to refrain from regulating green-house emissions is unavailing."			
	(b) The harms associated with climate change are serious and well	Annotated by Laura Safdie 🐡			
	recognized. The Government's own objective assessment of the relevant science and a strong consensus among qualified experts indicate that	Posts (37) 😡			

LALAWLIBRARY

Current Litigation Analytics

- Lex Machina
 - Analyzes info about judges, counsel, parties, and existing
 - Automatically identifies most relevant cases and can predict outcome of litigation
 - Analyzes outcome of motions
- Detavue
 - Commercial litigation tool
 - Provided a case number, AssistMyCase automatically creates timelines, identifies parties, key events
- Bloomberg Law
 - Litigation Analytics reports provide analysis of judges

Current Litigation Analytics

- Benefits:
 - Faster, automated case research
 - Automated analysis of judges, strategies, opposing counsel, motion success rate, etc.

LALAWLIBRARY

- Automate litigation functions such as creating litigation timelines, notifications for litigation deadlines
- Drawbacks:
 - Dependent on "good" data
 - "Human factors" still influence outcomes
 - Computer and network downtime
 - No "cognitive" function

Current Litigation Analytics Examples

• Lex Machina's Motion Metrics Reports

Motion Metrics Report Generated by analyzing 982 cases in the Search for Patent cases before Judge Richard Gibson Andrews; filed between 2000-01-01 and 2014-11-05 Show metrics for the orders issued by Judge Richard Gibson Andrews (RGA) -Stay Pending Appeal / Related Matter **Total Decided Orders** Issue Type Grant Deny Partial **Compared to National Average** Dismiss (Contested) 112 40 31 183 92% National Avg. **Dismiss (Uncontested)** 0 530 0 530 Stay Pending ITC 0 0 13 13 Stay Pending Appeal / Related Matter 33 3 0 36 8% Stay Pending PTAB 10 9 0 19 4% 0% Grant Denv Partial Stay Pending USPTO 0 48 35 13

LALAWLIBRARY

Current Litigation Analytics Examples

• Bloomberg Law's Litigation Analytics Reports

ick Bertrand Weinstein					
 Jack Bertrand Weinstein View Full Profile 	United States District the Eastern District of		yn NY	T: +1-	718-613-2600
Career History	Most Cited Opinio	ons	News		
Senior Judge 3/1993-PRESENT United States District Court for the Eastern	Dolgow v. Anderson, 43 F.R.D. 472 (E.D.N.Y. 1968)		Bench Memos: This Day in Liberal Judicial Activism—September 26 ය View More News		
Motion Outcomes App	eal Outcomes	Length of Case	Appe	arances & Case	e Types
Appeal Outcomes ouтсоме All Outcomes マ		Affirmed 151 outcomes (64.5%)]		0 🛱
All Dates 👻			 64.5% Affir 26.1% Reve 9.4% Affirm 	rsed	d in Part

LALAWLIBRARY

Emerging Legal AI Solutions

- Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence
 - Judicata: Analytics to parse court opinions
 - Fronteo: Machine learning assists with risk assessment, digital forensics and eDiscovery
 - eBrevia: Automatically analyze and summarize legal documents

LALAWLIBRARY

- NexLP: Data-mining to make law practice more efficient
- ROSS Intelligence: Automated case research, machine-based case context and analysis.

Emerging Legal AI Solutions

- eDiscovery
 - Software can analyze discovery documents for keywords, but also for concepts and can infer activities, even detecting humanadded emphasis
 - "(S)oftware was used by the law firm DLA Piper to search through a half-million documents... (S)oftware analyzed and sorted 570,000 documents... in two days." New York Times, March 4, 2011



Examples

• Judicata's Clerk Document Analysis System

		Certainteed.do	cx			
Overall					Strong 85	
Clerk identifie better chances		help improve this brie Grade	ef. Briefs wi Score	th higher sco Action Items	ores have	
	Arguments	Fair	79	5		
	Drafting	Strong	80	2		
	Context	Very Strong	96	4		
	Overall	Strong	85	11		

LALAWLIBRARY

Examples

- eBravia: Automated contract analysis
- ROSS Intelligence: Automated legal memo service
- NexLP: Automated litigation prevention tool, and document analysis
- Fronteo: "Lit i View" analyzes and classifies discovery materials